

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](https://www.sciencedirect.com)

Journal of Transport & Health

journal homepage: <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jth>

Mind the gap: Gender differences in walkability, transportation and physical activity in urban India

Deepti Adlakha^{a,*}, Diana C. Parra^b

^a School of Natural and Built Environment, Queen's University Belfast, United Kingdom

^b Program in Physical Therapy, Washington University in St. Louis, School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Non-communicable diseases
Low- and middle-income countries
Physical activity
Built environment
Neighborhood walkability
India

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Insufficient physical activity (PA) is a key contributor for premature mortality in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). Despite the well-documented health benefits of PA, few women achieve the recommended levels of PA. The global average of inactivity among women is higher at 31.7% compared to 23.4% for inactive men. The gender gap in PA participation is wider in India where estimates indicate that 44% women are insufficiently active, compared to 25% men.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted in the city of Chennai, India. Participants (N = 370) were recruited from 155 wards stratified by neighborhood walkability and socio-economic status. The adapted Neighborhood Environment Walkability Scale for India (NEWS-India) was used to assess built environment perceptions on density, land-use mix, street infrastructure, aesthetics, traffic and crime safety. Travel and leisure PA were recorded using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Long Form (IPAQ-LF). Study protocols were based on recommendations of the International Physical activity and the Environment Network (IPEN; www.ipenproject.org) and previously used in studies in Brazil, Colombia, and Nigeria.

Results: A greater number of women reported achieving weekly PA levels from travel-related activities (n = 54, 16.0%) compared to men (n = 33, 9.8%). In contrast, a higher percentage of men (n = 75, 22.3%) met weekly PA recommendations during leisure-time compared to women (n = 54, 16.1%). Street connectivity (aOR = 3.2, CI = 1.3, 8.0) and land-use mix access (aOR = 3.7, CI = 1.4, 8.2) significantly increased odds of travel PA among women.

Conclusions: Low engagement in leisure PA among women can be linked to prevailing socio-cultural norms that constrain women's mobility and hinder their participation in PA. Aspects of gender equity in transportation planning are closely linked with citywide indicators of livability, sustainability and resilience in LMICs like India. Public health practitioners must address gender-specific barriers to increase PA among women through context-specific group exercise programs, peer support and guidance that emphasize the importance of PA.

1. Introduction

Physical inactivity is a primary modifiable risk factor for non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as heart disease, diabetes,

* Corresponding author. School of Natural and Built Environment David Keir Building, 39-123 Stranmillis Road, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, BT9 5AG, United Kingdom.

E-mail addresses: d.adlakha@qub.ac.uk (D. Adlakha), parrad@wustl.edu (D.C. Parra).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jth.2020.100875>

Received 5 August 2019; Received in revised form 14 April 2020; Accepted 12 May 2020

Available online 22 May 2020

2214-1405/© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

obesity, stroke, hypertension, and some cancers (Lachat et al., 2013). A quarter of adults are inactive across the globe, costing billions of dollars in healthcare expenditure and productivity losses (Pratt et al., 2014).

This epidemic is magnified in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) that are currently experiencing the highest population growth rates (Boutayeb and Boutayeb, 2005). It is estimated that NCDs account for 80% of the global burden of disease in LMICs and insufficient physical activity (PA) is a key contributor for premature mortality in these populations (Ranasinghe et al., 2019). In India, an LMIC with a population of 1.4 billion people, less than 54.4% of the population meets the recommended PA guidelines and incidence rates for diabetes, heart disease and cancer are on a steep rise (Shrivastava et al., 2017; Upadhyay, 2012; Agrawal et al., 2012). NCDs currently account for 53% of the disease burden and 44% of disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) lost in India (Bloom et al., 2014). Despite the well-documented health benefits of PA, few women achieve the recommended levels of PA (Editorial, 2019). The global average of inactivity among women is much higher at 31.7% compared to 23.4% for inactive men (Editorial, 2019). The gender gap in PA participation is wider in India where estimates indicate that 44% of Indian women are insufficiently active, compared to 25% men (Chopra et al., 2013).

Scientific guidelines and calls to action issued by various agencies such as the US Department of Health and Human Services, UK Department of Health, and the World Health Organization's Global NCD Action Plan recommend that 150 min of moderate intensity PA or 75 min of vigorous PA per week are necessary to achieve health benefits (World Health Organization, 2010; Department of Health a, 2018; UK Department of Health and Social Care, 2011). Studies from developed nations have consistently shown that PA is affected by the interaction of individual, social, environmental and policy level factors (Bloom et al., 2014). However, recommendations from these studies may not be applicable to LMICs like India with varying cultural contexts, social mores, gendered norms and distinct patterns of urban development and land-use. India's socio-cultural setting is similar to several countries in south and south-east Asia, yet Indian women face greater restrictions and threats to personal safety compared to their counterparts in Malaysia, Indonesia, Philippines, and Thailand (Morgan et al., 2002).

Research has established that PA patterns and predictors vary by gender, yet research on the barriers and supports of PA for women is limited. Compared to men, women are more likely to report barriers to PA such as lack of time, financial constraints, body image negativity, and socio-cultural norms, neighborhood disadvantage, risks to safety, low walkability and poor quality of built environment (BE) (Moreno and Johnston, 2014). Women's participation in outdoor PA is restricted by gendered social norms in several communities and religious groups across India. Unsafe neighborhood conditions, limited access to parks, playgrounds and open spaces, lack of time, unsupportive pedestrian infrastructure, and cultural taboos are commonly cited constraints for women's engagement in PA (Bloom et al., 2014; Adlakha et al., 2016a). Traditional socio-cultural norms have established predefined responsibilities for women where they often play the lead role as caregivers or homemakers, in addition to paid work outside the home, consequently impinging on their leisure time (Babakus and Thompson, 2012). Recent reports have highlighted women's concerns of personal safety from gender violence and harassment as limitations toward their use of public spaces such as parks, playgrounds and streets, restricting their independence and participation in community events and socio-cultural activities (Mathews et al., 2016).

Research has established that transportation is a key factor that allows women to participate in the workforce and access social opportunities (Lei et al., 2019). In India, women and girls constitute 50% of the urban population and over 84% of trips by women are using public and non-motorized modes of transport (Ministry of Home Affairs, 2013). Women are likely to bear disproportionate impacts from poor BE infrastructure and public transport compared to men. While leisure PA such as going to a gym may be an additional burden, transport is a necessity of everyday life for women in India. Encouraging active transportation (walking and cycling) for utilitarian travel may be a feasible approach to increasing levels of PA in Indian women (Lei et al., 2019).

A plethora of research has demonstrated that BE interventions aimed at increasing the amount of PA within a population are likely to have a positive impact on health and address the NCD epidemic (Elshahat et al., 2020). Global calls to action have exhorted LMICs to address the gender imbalance emerging from current patterns and trends in mobility, transport and urban health (consortium CW, 2020). In India, there has been an upsurge in research and policy advocacy to incorporate gender and cultural perspectives into public health, education and the agricultural sectors, however few attempts have focused attention in the transport sector in urban areas. Urban planning and public transport investments in India have not considered the interrelationships between gender, transport and health inequities. To date, limited research has examined gender-specific differences in walkability, transportation and PA in urban India and evidence on PA practices among women in is scarce. Studies conducted in a few states across India point to insufficient PA levels in the population, however, gender-specific differences have not been fully elucidated (Bloom et al., 2014). This paper probes gender differences in PA in two key life areas or domains—leisure and transport—and correlates of the BE including density, diversity of land-uses, aesthetics, street design (e.g. pedestrian and bicycling infrastructure), traffic safety, and crime. We draw attention to issues confronting women's mobility and transport and the barriers they encounter for PA engagement in a metropolitan setting in India. This study fills a key gap in the knowledge on gendered mobilities in urban India and makes a case for gender-inclusive policies for urban transport across LMICs.

2. Methods

Study protocols, methods and measures were adapted from the International Physical activity and the Environment Network (IPEN; www.ipenproject.org) recommendations, a global organization that has developed standardized procedures for worldwide research on BE correlates of PA (Kerr et al., 2013). The Institutional Review Board at [university affiliation removed for peer review] approved all study procedures.

2.1. Study site

This study was conducted in the Chennai metropolitan area (164.48 sq. miles), capital of Tamil Nadu state in south India, with a current population of 9.1 million (Ministry of Home Affairs, 2013). For purposes of administration and governance, the metropolitan area of Chennai city is divided into 10 zones encompassing a total of 155 smaller electoral subdivisions also referred to as wards. The city of Chennai recorded a population growth of 6.98% in the previous Census period, making it the 4th most populous metropolitan area in India and the 30th most populous city in the world (Ministry of Home Affairs, 2013).

2.2. Sampling and participant recruitment

A cross-sectional study design with a stratified two-stage sampling approach was used in this study. In the first stage, administrative units or wards were stratified to maximize variance in neighborhood walkability and socio-economic status (SES). Wards were ranked by high and low walkability and SES to account for variability and maximize the variance between neighborhoods. These wards were then crossed to produce a 2×2 matrix of four quadrants representing the following criteria: high-walkable/high-SES, high-walkable/low-SES, low-walkable/high-SES, and low-walkable/low-SES. This method of stratification at the ward level has been consistently used in similar studies in order to maximize the contrast between areas with different walkability characteristics while taking SES into account (Adams et al., 2014). It ensured that groups with insufficient or inadequate representation were proportionately selected (Oyeyemi et al., 2013). In the second stage, efforts were made to recruit a diverse group of participants from wards distributed across the metropolitan area of Chennai city that matched the walkability and SES criterion. Protocols of sampling, stratification of wards, and participant recruitment have been published elsewhere and summarized below (Adlakha et al., 2016b).

Within each ward, study participants were recruited using a purposive sampling approach. The principal investigator identified a group of residents and key informants. Local residents included representatives from organized community groups such as neighborhood associations or neighborhood-watch groups, resident welfare groups and apartment owner association. Key informants included representatives from local government departments (e.g., Chennai Metropolitan Development Authority, Chennai Corporation, Transport Department), think tanks and non-profit organizations (e.g., Institute for Transportation and Development Policy, Chennai City Connect). This group of residents and key informants supported with the recruitment process by identifying other eligible participants through their professional and community networks.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participant recruitment were outlined in consultation with researchers who have conducted similar IPEN studies in Africa, Brazil, Colombia, Mexico and China (Oyeyemi et al., 2013; Cerin et al., 2013; Parra et al., 2011). Participants that met the following eligibility criteria were recruited for the study: (i) must be currently residing in the metropolitan area of Chennai city; (ii) has been resident in Chennai for at least 6 months prior to the survey date; (iii) current age between 18-65 years; (iv) fluent in English or Tamil (official languages of the study site); (v) no signs of physical or cognitive impairment; (vi) able to walk independently. Recruitment was limited to one participant per household to ensure data measurements are independent.

A moderate-to-large effect size (effect size statistic $[d] = 0.75$) was used to calculate the sample size. This estimate was in adherence with IPEN studies in similar LMIC contexts (Oyeyemi et al., 2013). Sample size calculations estimated that 73 participants from each of the four stratified ward quadrants were required to detect the specified effect size with greater than 80% power. Recruitment was conducted until at least 75 eligible participants from each quadrant had been surveyed.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Built environment characteristics

The adapted Neighborhood Environment Walkability Scale for India (NEWS-India) was used to assess BE characteristics (Adlakha et al., 2016a). Subscales of NEWS-India measure the following perceived BE characteristics: a) residential density (7 items), b) land-use mix diversity (43 items), c) land-use mix access (7 items), d) street connectivity (5 items), e) infrastructure for walking and bicycling (13 items), f) aesthetics (6 items), g) traffic safety (6 items), and h) safety from crime (4 items). Psychometric properties of NEWS-India subscales have been previously established with intra-class correlation coefficient scores higher than 0.75, indicating excellent reliability (Adlakha et al., 2016a).

2.3.2. Physical activity domains

PA levels were measured using the travel and leisure modules of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Long Form (IPAQ-LF), a self-report measure (IPAQ. International physical activity questionnaires, 2002). These modules of IPAQ-LF were used to capture the frequency and duration of walking, moderate and vigorous-intensity PA during leisure and travel activities. The IPAQ-LF has been tested internationally for reliability (Spearman's rho ~ 0.8) and criterion validity (median rho ~ 0.3) with satisfactory results (Hallal and Victora, 2004).

2.3.3. Demographic variables

Validated scales for Indian contexts were used to capture participants' responses on age, gender, marital status, education, employment, SES and religious affiliation.

2.4. Data analysis

The IPEN study protocol was used for scoring NEWS-India survey items and subscales. All NEWS-India items used a four-point Likert-type scale response ranging from 1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree). All items were positively scored (higher scores indicated a walkable neighborhood) and response categories combined into “agree” (strongly agree, agree) and “disagree” (disagree, strongly disagree). Data analysis was conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25 ([orp.tatis, 2017](#)).

We used logistic regression models to identify BE factors associated with meeting domain-specific PA levels (≥ 150 min/week) during travel and leisure. Separate models were created for men, women and the pooled sample. Models were adjusted for age and SES in order to control for the confounding effects of these variables ([Oyeyemi et al., 2013](#); [Parra et al., 2011](#); [Sengupta et al., 2015](#)).

3. Results

A total of 370 adults participated in this study. Comparisons of participant demographics with census data showed the study sample was older (median age = 35 years vs. census median age = 28.1 years), had greater number of women (54.2% vs 50.3%) and lower literacy rate (87.0% vs. 90.2%) than residents of the wards in which participants lived ([Ministry of Home Affairs, 2013](#)). The majority of participants in this sample had a graduate or professional degree (50.4%, $n = 183$), were employed (62.5%, $n = 222$) and married (61.5%, $n = 224$). [Table 1](#) highlights the demographic characteristics of the participants.

3.1. Built environment perceptions and commute characteristics

Perceptions of the BE and commute characteristics stratified by gender are presented in [Table 2](#). In this study, 40.8% of women reported lack of infrastructure for walking and cycling and 33.9% reported feeling unsafe at night due to fear of crime. Compared to men, a higher percentage of women (32.9%, $n = 120$) reported feeling threatened by crime rates in their neighborhood. Safety from traffic was also a key factor affecting women’s perceptions of the BE. Compared to men, a higher proportion of women reported difficult walking conditions due to the volume of traffic (33.8%, $n = 123$) and exhaust fumes from vehicular traffic (37.9%, $n = 138$). Levels of walking/cycling and active commuting were higher among women, whereas men reported greater use of private transport (32.8%, $n = 77$).

3.2. Meeting physical activity targets

[Table 3](#) highlights the descriptive statistics of men and women that met the WHO recommended PA targets. A greater number of

Table 1
Descriptive characteristics of the sample (N = 370).

Descriptive Characteristics	Men n = 166	Women n = 199	Full Sample N = 370
Age ^a (years) Median (SD)	34.5 (15.1)	38.0 (15.4)	35.0 (15.3)
Marital Status ^b (n, % of total)			
Married	95 (26.1%)	129 (35.4%)	224 (61.5%)
Not Married	71 (19.5%)	69 (19.0%)	140 (38.5%)
Religion ^b (n, % of total)			
Hindu	137 (37.6%)	162 (44.5%)	299 (82.1%)
non-Hindu	29 (8.0%)	36 (9.9%)	65 (17.9%)
Educational Level ^c (n, % of total)			
Uneducated	11 (3.0%)	36 (9.9%)	47 (12.9%)
Primary-Middle School	23 (6.3%)	34 (9.4%)	57 (15.7%)
High School or Diploma	37 (10.2%)	39 (10.7%)	76 (20.9%)
Graduate or Professional	94 (25.9%)	89 (24.5%)	183 (50.4%)
Monthly Family Income ^c in Indian Rupees (n, % of total)			
≤ 5386	28 (9.9%)	46 (15.8%)	74 (25.3%)
5387-13494	27 (9.2%)	15 (5.1%)	42 (14.4%)
13495-36016	12 (4.1%)	12 (4.1%)	24 (8.2%)
≥ 36017	78 (26.7%)	74 (25.3%)	152 (52.1%)
Work Status ^c (n, % of total)			
Unemployed	29 (8.2%)	101 (28.7%)	130 (36.9%)
Blue collar	64 (18.2%)	47 (13.4%)	111 (31.5%)
White collar	69 (19.6%)	42 (11.9%)	111 (31.5%)

Note: 1 Indian Rupee = 0.015 US\$ (average currency exchange rate, July 2019).

Table 2
Built environment perceptions and transportation choices stratified by gender (N = 370).

Item	Men n = 166	Women n = 199	Full Sample N = 370
STREET INFRASTRUCTURE (n, % of total)			
Street infrastructure makes it safe to walk or ride a bicycle - Yes	46 (12.6%)	50 (13.7%)	96 (26.3%)
No	120 (32.9%)	149 (40.8%)	269 (73.7%)
Major barriers to walking (e.g., no footpaths, water logging) - Yes	104 (28.5%)	141 (38.6%)	245 (67.1%)
No	62 (17.0%)	58 (15.9%)	120 (32.9%)
Streets are well-lit at night - Yes	129 (35.3%)	147 (40.3%)	276 (75.6%)
No	37 (10.1%)	52 (14.2%)	89 (24.4%)
SAFETY FROM CRIME (n, % of total)			
There is high crime rate in my neighborhood - Yes	90 (24.7%)	120 (32.9%)	210 (57.5%)
No	76 (20.8%)	79 (21.6%)	155 (42.5%)
Fear of crime makes it unsafe to be out during the day - Yes	66 (18.1%)	90 (24.7%)	156 (42.7%)
No	100 (27.4%)	109 (29.9%)	209 (57.3%)
Fear of crime makes it unsafe to be out during the night - Yes	87 (24.0%)	123 (33.9%)	210 (57.9%)
No	78 (21.5%)	75 (20.7%)	153 (42.1%)
Neighborhood is safe for children to walk/bicycle during daytime - Yes	111 (30.4%)	134 (36.7%)	245 (67.1%)
No	55 (15.1%)	65 (17.8%)	120 (32.9%)
TRAFFIC SAFETY (n, % of total)			
Volume of traffic makes it difficult or unpleasant to walk – Yes	94 (25.8%)	123 (33.8%)	217 (59.6%)
No	71 (19.5%)	76 (20.9%)	147 (40.4%)
Speed of traffic is very high (>50kmph) - Yes	77 (21.2%)	98 (26.9%)	175 (48.1%)
No	88 (24.2%)	101 (27.9%)	189 (51.9%)
There are a lot of exhaust fumes from cars, buses when I walk – Yes	119 (32.7%)	138 (37.9%)	257 (70.6%)
No	46 (12.6%)	61 (16.8%)	107 (29.4%)
LAND USE MIX ACCESS (n, % of total)			
Stores are within easy walking distance of my home - Yes	139 (38.1%)	166 (45.5%)	305 (83.6%)
No	27 (7.4%)	33 (9.0%)	60 (16.4%)
Easy to walk to a transit stop (bus, taxi, train) - Yes	138 (37.8%)	154 (42.2%)	292 (80.0%)
No	28 (7.7%)	45 (12.3%)	73 (20.0%)
COMMUTING BEHAVIOUR (n, % of total)			
Transit stop within 10-min walk from home - Yes	102 (27.9%)	119 (32.6%)	221 (60.5%)
No	64 (17.5%)	80 (21.9%)	144 (39.5%)
I can do most of my shopping at local stores - Yes	131 (35.9%)	148 (40.5%)	279 (76.4%)
No	35 (9.6%)	51 (14.0%)	86 (23.6%)
Commuting distance to work			
1–5 km	50 (26.3%)	41 (21.6%)	91 (47.9%)
5.1–10 km	11 (5.8%)	17 (8.9%)	28 (14.7%)
10.1–15 km	20 (10.5%)	15 (7.9%)	35 (18.4%)
>15 km	25 (13.2%)	11 (5.8%)	36 (18.9%)
Choice of commute mode			
Walk/bicycle	15 (6.4%)	25 (10.6%)	40 (17.0%)
Public Transport	33 (14.0%)	26 (11.1%)	59 (25.1%)
Multi-modal or Active Transport	12 (5.1%)	21 (8.9%)	33 (14.0%)
Private Transport	77 (32.8%)	26 (11.1%)	103 (43.8%)

Note: All environmental scales and items were positively scored, i.e. higher score = more walkable/activity-friendly.

women reported meeting WHO recommended levels of weekly PA from travel-related activities (n = 54, 16.0%) compared to men (n = 33, 9.8%). A higher number of men (n = 75, 22.3%) met weekly PA targets through leisure-related activities compared to women (n = 54, 16.1%). A higher proportion of women (38.4%, n = 129) did not attain WHO recommended levels of weekly PA from leisure PA compared to men (23.2%, n = 78). Overall, a higher proportion of women (n = 111, 33.7%) failed to achieve weekly total PA targets

Table 3Descriptive statistics of sample population for leisure, travel, and total physical activity (meeting, not meeting WHO recommendations^a).

Physical Activity Variables	Men n = 166	Women n = 199	Full Sample N = 370
Travel PA (n, % of total)			
Meeting WHO guidelines	33 (9.8%)	54 (16.0%)	87 (25.7%)
Not meeting WHO guidelines	121 (35.8%)	130 (38.5%)	251 (74.3%)
Leisure PA (n, %)			
Meeting WHO guidelines	75 (22.3%)	54 (16.1%)	129 (38.4%)
Not meeting WHO guidelines	78 (23.2%)	129 (38.4%)	207 (61.6%)
Total PA (n, %)			
Meeting WHO guidelines	71 (21.6%)	67 (20.4%)	138 (41.9%)
Not meeting WHO guidelines	80 (24.3%)	111 (33.7%)	191 (58.1%)

Note: PA=Physical Activity.

^a At least 150 min of PA per week based on global recommendations for PA among adults established by the WHO. (Oyeyemi et al., 2013).

compared to men (n = 80, 24.3%).

3.3. Multiple logistic regressions

We used multiple logistic regression modeling to assess associations between BE factors and meeting WHO-recommended levels of domain-specific PA (leisure, travel, and total) among men and women. Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios examining these associations among men and women are presented in Tables 4 and 5 respectively. Land use mix diversity and safety from traffic were the only BE factors that significantly improved travel-related PA among men. The odds of travel PA increased two and a half fold in neighborhoods with greater safety from traffic (OR = 2.5, CI = 1.1, 5.5) and four-fold with greater land-use mix diversity (OR = 4.0, CI = 1.7, 9.1). There was a significant three-fold increase in leisure PA with street connectivity (OR = 2.9, CI = 1.3, 6.6). Land use mix diversity significantly lowered the odds of engagement in leisure PA by 70% (OR = 0.3, CI = 0.1, 0.3). None of the other BE factors were significantly associated with leisure PA. Land-use mix diversity (aOR = 1.4, CI = 0.5, 3.9) and safety from traffic (aOR = 1.1, CI = 0.5, 2.3) were the only factors positively related to total PA in the adjusted models.

Table 5 shows BE predictors of PA among women. Travel PA increased three-fold with greater street connectivity (aOR = 3.2, CI = 1.3, 8.0) and approximately four-fold with increased land-use mix access (aOR = 3.7, CI = 1.4, 8.2). Greater residential density increased the likelihood of travel PA among women (OR = 1.7, CI = 1.1, 4.3), but this relationship was not statistically significant. The unadjusted odds of travel PA increased with a mix of land-uses (OR = 1.3, CI = 0.6, 2.6) and infrastructure for walking and cycling (OR = 1.5, CI = 0.5, 3.2), but these relationships were not significant and weakened in adjusted models. No significant correlations were found between BE characteristics and leisure PA in women. However, four BE characteristics—land-use mix diversity, infrastructure for walking and cycling, aesthetics and safety from crime—were positively associated with leisure PA among women. The adjusted odds of total PA in women significantly increased with land use mix access (aOR = 2.4, CI = 1.1, 5.0). Although not statistically significant, the adjusted odds of travel PA improved with better street connectivity (aOR = 1.6, CI = 0.7, 3.6).

The unadjusted odds of travel PA in women significantly increased with overall walkability as measured by the aggregate NEWS-

Table 4

Built environment predictors of meeting WHO-recommended levels of leisure, travel, and total physical activity among men in Chennai, India (N = 166).

Independent Variables NEWS-India Subscales	Leisure PA		Travel PA		Total PA	
	OR (95% CI)	aOR ^a (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	aOR ^a (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	aOR ^a (95% CI)
Residential density	0.5 (0.3, 1.0)	0.6 (0.3, 1.3)	1.6 (0.8, 3.6)	1.4 (0.6, 3.3)	0.7 (0.4, 1.3)	0.8 (0.4, 1.5)
Land use mix–diversity	0.3** (0.1, 0.3)	0.9 (0.3, 2.7)	4.0*** (1.7, 9.1)	1.5 (0.5, 4.1)	0.8 (0.4, 1.7)	1.4 (0.5, 3.9)
Land use mix–access	1.4 (0.6, 3.0)	0.5 (0.2, 1.2)	0.3** (0.1, 0.6)	0.4 (0.2, 1.2)	0.7 (0.3, 1.6)	0.4* (0.1, 0.9)
Street connectivity	2.9** (1.3, 6.6)	0.9 (0.3, 2.6)	0.2*** (0.1, 0.5)	0.6 (0.2, 1.6)	0.9 (0.4, 2.0)	0.4 (0.1, 1.1)
Infrastructure for walking/bicycling	0.4 (0.2, 1.1)	0.5 (0.2, 1.2)	1.1 (0.4, 3.1)	1.1 (0.4, 3.3)	0.5 (0.2, 1.1)	0.6 (0.2, 1.4)
Aesthetics	1.1 (0.5, 2.4)	0.9 (0.4, 2.0)	0.1 (0.0, 0.6)	0.1* (0.0, 0.8)	0.7 (0.3, 1.5)	0.5 (0.2, 1.3)
Safety from traffic	0.8 (0.4, 1.6)	0.9 (0.4, 2.0)	2.5* (1.1, 5.5)	2.6* (1.1, 6.3)	0.9 (0.4, 1.9)	1.1 (0.5, 2.3)
Safety from crime	1.0 (0.5, 1.9)	0.5 (0.2, 1.1)	0.4 (0.2, 1.0)	0.8 (0.3, 1.8)	0.8 (0.4, 1.5)	0.5 (0.3, 1.2)
Aggregate NEWS-India Score	0.5 (0.3, 1.0)	0.6 (0.3, 1.3)	1.6 (0.7, 3.5)	1.4 (0.6, 3.2)	0.7 (0.4, 1.4)	0.8 (0.4, 1.5)

Note: OR=Unadjusted Odds Ratios, aOR = Adjusted Odds Ratios, CI=Confidence Interval, PA=Physical Activity, NEWS=Neighborhood Environment Walkability Scale.

*indicates $p < .05$.**indicates $p < .01$.***indicates $p < .001$.^a Adjusted for age and socio-economic status.

Table 5

Built environment predictors of meeting WHO-recommended levels of leisure, travel, and total physical activity among women in Chennai, India (N = 199).

Independent Variables NEWS-India Subscales	Leisure PA		Travel PA		Total PA	
	OR 95% CI	aOR ^a 95% CI	OR 95% CI	aOR ^a 95% CI	OR 95% CI	aOR ^a 95% CI
Residential density	0.7 (0.4, 1.3)	0.8 (0.4, 1.5)	2.2* (1.1, 4.3)	1.7 (0.8, 3.4)	1.1 (0.6, 1.9)	1.0 (0.5, 1.9)
Land use mix–diversity	1.1 (0.5, 2.3)	1.5 (0.6, 3.6)	1.3 (0.6, 2.6)	0.5 (0.2, 1.2)	0.7 (0.3, 1.4)	0.5 (0.2, 1.1)
Land use mix–access	1.2 (0.6, 2.6)	1.0 (0.5, 2.2)	1.6 (0.7, 3.3)	3.7** (1.4, 8.2)	2.1* (1.0, 4.2)	2.4* (1.1, 5.0)
Street connectivity	0.9 (0.4, 1.9)	0.6 (0.3, 1.5)	1.2 (0.5, 2.7)	3.2** (1.3, 8.0)	1.2 (0.6, 2.6)	1.6 (0.7, 3.6)
Infrastructure for walking/bicycling	1.3 (0.6, 3.0)	1.5 (0.7, 3.5)	1.5 (0.7, 3.2)	1.0 (0.4, 2.3)	1.2 (0.5, 2.5)	1.1 (0.5, 2.4)
Aesthetics	1.3 (0.6, 2.8)	1.4 (0.6, 2.9)	0.8 (0.3, 1.8)	0.7 (0.3, 1.8)	1.0 (0.5, 2.2)	1.0 (0.5, 2.2)
Safety from traffic	1.0 (0.5, 2.0)	1.0 (0.5, 2.1)	0.8 (0.4, 1.7)	0.7 (0.3, 1.6)	0.6 (0.3, 1.3)	0.6 (0.3, 1.3)
Safety from crime	1.3 (0.7, 2.5)	1.2 (0.6, 2.4)	0.6 (0.3, 1.1)	1.0 (0.5, 2.3)	0.7 (0.4, 1.3)	0.8 (0.4, 1.6)
Aggregate NEWS-India Score	0.7 (0.4, 1.3)	0.8 (0.4, 1.5)	2.1* (1.1, 4.1)	1.7 (0.8, 3.3)	0.7 (0.4, 1.3)	1.0 (0.5, 1.8)

Note: OR=Unadjusted Odds Ratios, aOR = Adjusted Odds Ratios, CI=Confidence Interval, PA=Physical Activity, NEWS=Neighborhood Environment Walkability Scale.

*indicates $p < .05$.

**indicates $p < .01$.

***indicates $p < .001$.

^a Adjusted for age and socio-economic status.

India score (OR = 2.1, CI = 1.1, 4.1). Higher aggregate NEWS-India score decreased likelihood of engagement in leisure PA in men (aOR = 0.6, CI = 0.3, 1.3) and women (aOR = 0.8, CI = 0.4, 1.5), but these associations were not significant. Adjusted odds of travel PA were positively associated with aggregate NEWS-India scores in both men (aOR = 1.4, CI = 0.6, 3.2) and women (aOR = 1.7, CI = 0.8, 3.3).

4. Discussion

In this study, we examined differences in walkability, transport and PA by gender in the city of Chennai, India. Findings highlight declining trends in PA among women in urban areas and socio-cultural norms that engender differences in PA participation. This study is one of the first to document gender-based differences in neighborhood walkability and domain-specific PA in an urban community in India. Our results draw attention to the barriers that women encounter for PA engagement and make the case for gender-inclusive policies for urban transport across LMICs.

Previous population surveys of risk factors for NCD's in India have found that physical activity levels in rural women were seven times higher than urban women (Yadav and Krishnan, 2008; Pradeepa et al., 2015; MeshramVishnu Vardhana Rao et al., 2016; Garg et al., 2010). Obesity rates were highest among women in urban areas and lowest in rural women (Sengupta et al., 2015; Yadav and Krishnan, 2008). Urbanization is linked with a rise in NCD risk factors like physical inactivity, and women are more likely to be inactive as compared to men (Ogden et al., 2018; Sengupta et al., 2015). Results from this study confirm the higher prevalence of NCD risk factors such as low PA among urban women in Chennai.

Women represent the largest share of public transport users in LMICs, yet they face many barriers that limit their mobility. In our analyses, a higher proportion of women reported achieving recommended PA targets from transport-related activities, walking, and cycling, compared to men. Although walking and bicycling are still common means of transportation in India, accounting for 50%–70% of commuter trips in some urban areas, social prejudice against bicycle use prevails, and car ownership is an indicator of higher social status (Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, 2011). Compared to developed nations, motor vehicle ownership rates are lower in LMICs, especially among women in lower income groups. In these contexts where women have limited travel mode choices, walking, bicycling, and use of public transport are indispensable modes for commuting (Shoham et al., 2015). Findings from this study corroborate a multi-country IPEN study including LMICs such as Hong Kong, Mexico, Colombia, and Brazil with low levels of car ownership in the population, thus necessitating the use of active travel modes (Sallis et al., 2016). However, in the last decade, ownership of private motor vehicles (car and motorcycles) has significantly increased in India, accounting for about 30% of the total transport demand (Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, 2011; Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, 2010–2011). The juxtaposition of different means of transportation (pedestrians, bicyclists, and drivers), weak road regulations and poor law enforcement contribute to India's high numbers of road accidents and traffic fatalities (World Health Organization, 2009). Under these circumstances, promoting active transportation as a recommendation for the population must go hand-in-hand with policies and interventions for improvement of road safety.

Use of public transportation is a known facilitator for PA, yet lack of safety from crime, inconsistent last-mile connectivity, and poor access to transit stops like bus and train stations outweigh women's use of public transport services in India. Commute patterns in India vary distinctly by socioeconomic status—low socioeconomic groups are more likely to use walk, bicycle or public transportation in India compared to high-income groups (Mathews et al., 2016). In contrast, women in middle-to-high-income groups prefer to use private motorized transport, mainly cars, scooters, and motorcycles, even for short distances. Commonly cited deterrents to walking among women include lack of infrastructure for walking, traffic congestion and poor street connectivity, which is consistent with the

findings from this study. Similar to other studies from highly urbanized and rapidly urbanizing LMICs, we found positive correlations of total PA with land use mix-access and street connectivity (Gomez et al., 2015).

In contrast to transportation PA, the proportion of women engaging in leisure-time PA found in this study was lower, thus emphasizing the need to implement comprehensive methods that balance the promotion of PA through various domains. Low engagement in leisure-time PA among women may be linked to prevailing cultural and social norms that constrain women's mobility and hinder their participation in PA. For example, cycling is perceived as more appropriate for younger men, but an improper and unacceptable activity for women to engage in as per societal traditions (Mathews et al., 2016). Some women do not prefer using bicycles due to the inconvenience caused by the local attire (sari). (Mathews et al., 2016).

Other barriers include negative perceptions of active travel, low self-esteem due to poor body image, time constraints due to household and caregiving responsibilities, low motivation, and disinclination toward any types of PA (Mathews et al., 2016). Women from low-income groups may not have the financial means to afford services such as housecleaning or childcare to relieve them for leisure-PA participation (Adlakha et al., 2017; Gómez et al., 2004). They may also be constrained in accessing fee-based leisure-PA opportunities (e.g., gyms, recreation centers, sports clubs) or unable to visit a safe outdoor neighborhood space such as a park or playground, further limiting their ability to engage in leisure-time PA (Gómez et al., 2004). Due to gendered social roles, women may be active in other domains (e.g., domestic or occupational) rather than during leisure, which should be explored in future research.

4.1. Strengths and limitations

In this study, gender was defined as socio-cultural constructs, norms and expectations based on binary biological characteristics, male and female. Therefore, other gender(s) and their access to mobility/transport were not explored. The small sample size from a single city in India and cross-sectional nature of the study design limits the ability to generalize findings and make any inferences of causality. This study relied on participants' own reporting of their levels of PA and perceptions of the BE. Self-reported data has its limitations as individuals may be biased when reporting their own experiences. For example, participants are likely to respond in a way that is socially desired or acceptable, which may result in inaccurate estimations of PA levels. Physically active individuals may observe and report more opportunities for PA and supportive neighborhood infrastructure than those who are less active.

The validity and reliability of instruments that were used for data collection must be considered while interpreting the results of this study. The IPAQ is a standardized, internationally validated tool for measuring PA, however the domains used in the current study may not have fully captured the specifics of PA in the current context of urban India, which highlights gaps in the study. For example, classification of PA that may be considered mild in some settings may be vigorous in others. In India, PA associated with transportation is a regular occurrence in urban areas where an individual's daily routine involves travel to work. On the contrary, leisure-time PA may not be commonplace in the participants of this study given the socio-cultural setting of the population.

This study did not measure domestic and occupational levels of PA, which is another limitation of this study. Household chores requiring movement (e.g., cleaning, vacuuming, gardening) are known to be a key source of energy expenditure among women (Phongsavan et al., 2004). This is especially important in India as domestic duties may often be divided along gender lines, and many wealthier households may employ women as domestic helpers. This study did not measure rural PA patterns, where women may undertake vigorous farming work for long hours involving ploughing, tilling fields and shepherding livestock. Performing these vigorous domestic and occupational activities can add up to reach the recommended PA levels among women (Archer et al., 2013).

Despite these limitations, this study has notable strengths. As part of IPEN, rigorous methods and measures were used (Kerr et al., 2016). Given the limited research on this topic, our findings highlight gender-specific associations between PA and BE in India, that are noticeably different from patterns observed in developed countries. Our findings also call attention to the need to integrate gender-responsive policies across urban planning, transport, and public health sectors in LMICs.

5. Conclusion

A majority of the world's population now lives in cities with women comprising half of the population, therefore research exploring the intersection of health disparities, gender roles and cultural issues in urban planning and transportation is paramount (United Nations, 2018). Public health practitioners must address gender-specific barriers to increase PA among women. Health promotion strategies and interventions should be designed to suit the predominant socio-cultural norms in the community, improve self-efficacy, and enhance social support among women through context-specific group exercise programs, peer support and guidance that emphasizes the importance of PA (Mathews et al., 2016).

India's efforts to address gender inequalities in PA will be critical to attaining the global action plan targets set by the World Health Organisation. In the past decade, several programs and policies have been launched across India to promote sustainable, inclusive, and healthy cities. The Government of India's on-going '100 Smart Cities Mission' aspires to renew and retrofit 100 cities across the country with walkable neighborhoods, improved access to parks, green spaces, and public transit infrastructure (Government of India, 2015). In LMICs like India, aspects of gender equity in transportation planning are closely linked with citywide indicators of liveability, sustainability, and resilience and must be integrated together with smart city planning features. India's strategy for the prevention and control of NCDs needs to respond to the challenges of urbanization and warrants a closer look into the creation of safe, gender-sensitive and culturally acceptable strategies to encourage women's participation in PA.

Author statement

Deepti Adlakha: Conceptualization, Project administration, Investigation, Methodology, Data Curation, Supervision, Software, Formal Analysis, Validation, Writing—Original Draft, Funding acquisition. **Diana Parra:** Software, Validation, Writing—Review and Editing.

Funding

This study was funded by the International Field Research Award at Washington University in St. Louis and a UK Department for Economy Global Challenges Research Award. The funders had no role in any part of the study, including study design, data collection, and analysis, interpretation of data, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Declaration of competing interest

None.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. James F. Sallis (University of California, San Diego) and members of the IPEN Coordinating Center for their assistance with methods and study protocols. We also acknowledge the team of research assistants that assisted with translation and data collection in India: S. Soundhariya, Priyadarshini Chidambaram, S. Gayathri, R. Dhivya, Gomathi Srinivasan, V. Sridevi, and V. Shanthi.

Abbreviations

NCD	Non-Communicable Disease
LMICs	Low- and Middle-Income Countries
PA	Physical Activity
BE	Built Environment
IPEN	International Physical activity and the Environment Network
NEWS	Neighborhood Environment Walkability Scale
IPAQ-LF	International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Long Form

References

- Adams, M.A., Frank, L.D., Schipperijn, J., Smith, G., Chapman, J., Christiansen, L.B., et al., 2014. International variation in neighborhood walkability, transit, and recreation environments using geographic information systems: the IPEN adult study. *Int. J. Health Geogr.* 13 (1), 43.
- Adlakha, D., Hipp, J.A., Brownson, R.C., 2016a. Adaptation and evaluation of the neighborhood environment walkability scale in India (NEWS-India). *Int. J. Environ. Res. Publ. Health* 13 (4), 401.
- Adlakha, D., Hipp, J.A., Brownson, R.C., 2016b. Neighborhood-based differences in walkability, physical activity, and weight status in India. *J. Trans. Health* 3 (4), 485–499.
- Adlakha, D., Hipp, J.A., Brownson, R.C., A AE, C KL, Raghavan, R., 2017. Can we walk? Environmental supports for physical activity in India. *Prev. Med.* 103s, S81–S89.
- Agrawal, R., Chaturvedi, M., Singh, S., Gupta, S.C., 2012. An epidemiological study of dietary and exercise habits as correlates of hypertension in persons aged 45 years and above in Agra District. *Indian J. Community Health* 24 (2), 91–96.
- Archer, E., Shook, R.P., Thomas, D.M., Church, T.S., Katzmarzyk, P.T., Hébert, J.R., et al., 2013. 45-Year trends in women's use of time and household management energy expenditure. *PLoS One* 124 (3), 290–298.
- Babakus, W.S., Thompson, J.L., 2012. Physical activity among South Asian women: a systematic, mixed-methods review. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Activ.* 9 (1), 150.
- Bloom, D.E., Cafiero-Fonseca, E.T., Candeias, V., Adashi, E., Bloom, L., Gurfein, L., et al., 2014. Economics of Non-communicable Diseases in India: the Costs and Returns on Investment of Interventions to Promote Healthy Living and Prevent, Treat, and Manage NCDs. World Economic Forum, Harvard School of Public Health, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Boutayeb, A., Boutayeb, S., 2005. The burden of non communicable diseases in developing countries. *Int. J. Equity Health* 4 (1), 2.
- Cerin, E., Conway, T.L., Cain, K.L., Kerr, J., De Bourdeaudhuij, I., Owen, N., et al., 2013. Sharing good NEWS across the world: developing comparable scores across 12 countries for the Neighborhood Environment Walkability Scale (NEWS). *BMC Publ. Health* 13, 309.
- Chopra, S.M., Misra, A., Gulati, S., Gupta, R., 2013. Overweight, obesity and related non-communicable diseases in Asian Indian girls and women. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 67 (7), 688–696.
- consortium Cw., 2020. Gender Equality and Mobility: Mind the Gap! CIVITAS.
- US Department of Health and Human Services, 2018. Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans.
- Editorial, 2019. Time to tackle the physical activity gender gap. *Lancet Publ. Health* 4 (8), e360.
- Elshahat, S., O'Rourke, M., Adlakha, D., 2020. Built environment correlates of physical activity in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 15 (3) e0230454-e0230454.
- Garg, C., Khan, S.A., Ansari, S.H., Garg, M., 2010. Prevalence of obesity in Indian women. *Obes. Rev.* 11 (2), 105–108.
- Gómez, L.F., Mateus, J.C., Cabrera, G., 2004. Leisure-time physical activity among women in a neighbourhood in Bogotá, Colombia: prevalence and socio-demographic correlates. *Cad. Saúde Pública* 20, 1103–1109.
- Gomez, L.F., Sarmiento, R., Ordóñez, M.F., Pardo, C.F., de Sa, T.H., Mallarino, C.H., et al., 2015. Urban environment interventions linked to the promotion of physical activity: a mixed methods study applied to the urban context of Latin America. *Soc. Sci. Med.* 131, 18–30.

- Government of India, 2015. Smart Cities Mission. New Delhi, India.
- Hallal, P.C., Victora, C.G., 2004. Reliability and validity of the international physical activity questionnaire (IPAQ). *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 36 (3), 556.
- Ipaq. International physical activity questionnaires, 2002. IPAQ-long: Last 7 Days Self-Administered Format. International physical activity questionnaires.
- Kerr, J., Sallis, J.F., Owen, N., De Bourdeaudhuij, I., Cerin, E., Sugiyama, T., et al., 2013. Advancing science and policy through a coordinated international study of physical activity and built environments: IPEN adult methods. *J. Phys. Activ. Health* 10 (4), 581–601.
- Kerr, J., Emond, J.A., Badland, H., Reis, R., Sarmiento, O., Carlson, J., et al., 2016. Perceived neighborhood environmental attributes associated with walking and cycling for transport among adult residents of 17 cities in 12 countries: the IPEN study. *Environ. Health Perspect.*
- Lachat, C., Otchere, S., Roberfroid, D., Abdulai, A., Seret, F.M.A., Milesevic, J., et al., 2013. Diet and physical activity for the prevention of noncommunicable diseases in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic policy review. *PLoS Med.* 10 (6), e1001465.
- Lei, L., Desai, S., Vanneman, R., 2019. The impact of transportation infrastructure on women's employment in India. *Fem. Econ.* 25 (4), 94–125.
- Mathews, E., Lakshmi, J.K., Ravindran, T.K., Pratt, M., Thankappan, K.R., 2016. Perceptions of barriers and facilitators in physical activity participation among women in Thiruvananthapuram City, India. *Glob. Health Promot.* 23 (4), 27–36.
- Meshram II, Vishnu Vardhana Rao, M., Sudershan Rao, V., Laxmaiah, A., Polasa, K., 2016. Regional variation in the prevalence of overweight/obesity, hypertension and diabetes and their correlates among the adult rural population in India. *Br. J. Nutr.* 115 (7), 1265–1272.
- Ministry of Home Affairs, 2013. Census of India 2011. Government of India, New Delhi, India.
- Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, 2011. Road Transport Yearbook 2007 - 2009, vol. I. Transport Research Wing, Government of India, New Delhi.
- Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, 2010-2011. Basic Road Statistics of India. Ministry of Road Transport and Highways.
- Moreno, J.P., Johnston, C.A., 2014. Barriers to physical activity in women. *Am. J. Lifestyle Med.* 8 (3), 164–166.
- Morgan, S.P., Stash, S., Smith, H.L., Mason, K.O., 2002. Muslim and non-muslim differences in female autonomy and fertility: evidence from four asian countries. *Popul. Dev. Rev.* 28 (3), 515–537.
- Ogden, C.L., Fryar, C.D., Hales, C.M., Carroll, M.D., Aoki, Y., Freedman, D.S., 2018. Differences in obesity prevalence by demographics and urbanization in US children and adolescents, 2013-2016 Obesity prevalence by demographics and urbanization in US youth, 2013-2016 Obesity prevalence by demographics and urbanization in US youth, 2013-2016. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 319 (23), 2410–2418.
- IBM Corp, 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows Version 25.0. IBM Corp., Armonk, NY.
- Oyeyemi, A.L., Sallis, J.F., Deforche, B., Oyeyemi, A.Y., De Bourdeaudhuij, I., Van Dyck, D., 2013. Evaluation of the neighborhood environment walkability scale in Nigeria. *Int. J. Health Geogr.* 12, 16.
- Parra, D.C., Hoehner, C.M., Hallal, P.C., Ribeiro, I.C., Reis, R.S., Brownson, R.C., et al., 2011. Perceived environmental correlates of physical activity for leisure and transportation in Curitiba, Brazil. *Prev. Med.* 52 (3–4), 234–238.
- Phongsavan, P., Merom, D., Marshall, A., Bauman, A., 2004. Estimating physical activity level: the role of domestic activities. *J. Epidemiol. Community Health* 58 (6), 466–467.
- Pradeepa, R., Anjana, R.M., Joshi, S.R., Bhansali, A., Deepa, M., Joshi, P.P., et al., 2015. Prevalence of generalized & abdominal obesity in urban & rural India—the ICMR-INDIAB Study (Phase-I) [ICMR- NDIAB-3]. *Indian J. Med. Res.* 142 (2), 139–150.
- Pratt, M., Norris, J., Lobelo, F., Roux, L., Wang, G., 2014. The cost of physical inactivity: moving into the 21st century. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 48, 171–173.
- Ranasinghe, P.D., Pokhrel, S., Anokye, N.K., 2019. The economics of physical activity in low-income and middle-income countries: protocol for a systematic review. *BMJ open* 9 (1) e022686-e022686.
- Sallis, J.F., Cerin, E., Conway, T.L., Adams, M.A., Frank, L.D., Pratt, M., et al., 2016. Physical activity in relation to urban environments in 14 cities worldwide: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet* 387 (10034), 2207–2217.
- Sengupta, A., Angeli, F., Syamala, T.S., Dagnelie, P.C., van Schayck, C.P., 2015. Overweight and obesity prevalence among Indian women by place of residence and socio-economic status: contrasting patterns from 'underweight states' and 'overweight states' of India. *Soc. Sci. Med.* 138, 161–169.
- Shoham, D.A., Dugas, L.R., Bovet, P., Forrester, T.E., Lambert, E.V., Plange-Rhule, J., et al., 2015. Association of car ownership and physical activity across the spectrum of human development: modeling the Epidemiologic Transition Study (METS). *BMC Publ. Health* 15, 173–173.
- Shrivastava, U., Misra, A., Mohan, V., Unnikrishnan, R., Bachani, D., 2017. Obesity, diabetes and cardiovascular diseases in India: public health challenges. *Curr. Diabetes Rev.* 13 (1), 65–80.
- UK Department of Health and Social Care, 2011. UK Physical Activity Guidelines.
- United Nations, 2018. The World's Cities in 2018. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division.
- Upadhyay, R.P., 2012. An overview of the burden of non-communicable diseases in India. *Iran. J. Public Health* 41 (3), 1–8.
- World Health Organization, 2009. Global Status Report on Road Safety: Time for Action Geneva. Switzerland.
- World Health Organization, 2010. Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health. World Health Organization, Switzerland.
- Yadav, K., Krishnan, A., 2008. Changing patterns of diet, physical activity and obesity among urban, rural and slum populations in north India. *Obes. Rev.* 9 (5), 400–408.